

# Sovereign Assets, Optimal Growth and Volatility Pumping

## AUTHORS



**Jamil Baz**  
Managing Director, Head of Client Solutions and Analytics



**Josh Davis**  
Managing Director  
Head of Client Analytics



**Cristian Fuenzalida**  
Quantitative Research Analyst



**Erol Hakanoglu**  
Senior Advisor

## Executive Summary

- In this paper, we review the idea of volatility pumping and discuss how it helps boost assets of sovereign wealth funds.
- Asset diversification leads to lower portfolio volatility which, in turn, increases expected growth of sovereign portfolios.
- The benefits of volatility pumping increase with the original portfolio volatility.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Can sovereign funds structure their assets – financial or real – with a view to boosting these assets’ growth? We will address this question after discussing the basics of volatility pumping.

Volatility pumping is an asset allocation and trading strategy that makes use of the following fact: Even though the correct way to measure the performance of a financial asset is its geometric mean return, its expectation at any point in time is its arithmetic mean return, which is always equal to or higher than its geometric mean.

### 1.1 COMPOUNDED RETURN: A REMINDER

Because the value of a portfolio  $P_0$ , continuously compounded at a rate  $r$ , yields  $P_T = P_0 e^{rT}$  after  $T$  periods, it follows that a compounded return  $r$  is

$$r = \frac{\log \frac{P_T}{P_0}}{T} \quad (1)$$

Specifically, if a dollar is continuously compounded over a year to yield  $P_T$ , then the compounded return on that dollar is  $\log(P_T)$ . In an uncertain world, the expected compounded return, also called compounded growth, is  $E(\log(P_T))$ .

## 2 VOLATILITY PUMPING

Say we have two uncorrelated assets with zero expected growth. Is it possible to combine them so that the portfolio’s expected growth exceeds zero?

### 2.1 TWO SIMPLE EXAMPLES

**Example 1: A risk asset and a riskless asset, both zero-growth.** Let us try our hand at building a portfolio with a risk-free asset yielding zero interest and a risk asset that over

a year sees its value multiplied by three in the upstate with a probability of 50% or divided by three in the downstate with a probability of 50%. The expected growth rate for the risk asset is

$$\frac{1}{2}\log(3) + \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = 0. \quad (2)$$

Both assets have an expected growth rate of zero. But what happens if we allocate, say, one quarter of a dollar to the risk asset and three quarters of a dollar to the riskless asset? The dollar will be worth either  $(1/4)*3+(3/4)*1 = 3/2$  in the upstate with a probability of 50% or  $(1/4)*(1/3)+(3/4)*1 = 5/6$  in the downstate with a probability of 50%.

The expected growth rate of the portfolio is therefore

$$\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{5}{6}\right) = 0.1157. \quad (3)$$

It appears that diversification generates portfolio growth of 11.57% even though the expected growth of the portfolio's constituents is zero. But we can do better than that. Let us try a 50-50 allocation instead of 25-75; the dollar will be worth  $(1/2)*3+(1/2)*1 = 2$  in the upstate and  $(1/2)*(1/3)+(1/2)*1 = 2/3$  in the downstate.

Now the portfolio's expected growth rate is

$$\frac{1}{2}\log(2) + \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = 0.1438. \quad (4)$$

Equal allocation to the risk assets and riskless assets further improves portfolio growth.

As shown in the preceding example, diversification is an engine of financial growth. How so? Diversification reduces volatility, which in turn boosts growth. It is well known in finance that lower volatility translates into higher growth. In Appendix 1, we show that the expected growth rate, or compounded return, of an asset increases as the asset volatility decreases. Specifically:

$$\text{Growth rate of portfolio} = \text{Arithmetic return} - \frac{1}{2}\text{Variance of return}. \quad (5)$$

In the next example, we discuss a similar instance of volatility pumping in a portfolio of two risk assets.

### Example 2: Two uncorrelated risk assets, both zero-growth.

Consider now two uncorrelated risk assets that see their value either tripled or divided by three with equal probability. As noted in Example 1, the expected growth rate of these assets, taken individually, is zero. But if we constitute an equal-weight portfolio, we have four states of the world for both assets: (upstate, upstate), (upstate, downstate), (downstate, upstate) and (downstate, downstate). The expected growth rate of this portfolio is

$$\frac{1}{4}\log\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\log\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{6}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\log\left(\frac{1}{6}+\frac{3}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\log\left(\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{6}\right) = 0.2554. \quad (6)$$

The portfolio is expected to grow by 25.54% over one year, even though each of its two constituents will have zero growth.

## 2.2 OPTIMAL PORTFOLIO GROWTH WITH A RISK ASSET AND A RISKLESS ASSET

In Example 1, the expected growth of the portfolio increased as the allocation to the risk asset rose from 25% to 50%. A related question is whether there is an optimal portfolio that maximizes the expected growth. The answer is yes. If the problem is to allocate a portfolio to a risk asset and a zero-yielding riskless asset, and if the risk asset value is multiplied by  $m$  with probability  $p$  and by  $1/m$  with probability  $(1-p)$ , then the optimal allocation to the risk asset is

$$\alpha^* = \frac{p(m+1)-1}{m-1}. \quad (7)$$

The proof is in Appendix 2. Note that for  $p = 1/2$  the risk asset has an expected growth of zero and the risk asset allocation is  $\alpha^* = 1/2$ . In this case, optimal growth is

$$g^* = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{m}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2m}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{m}{4}+\frac{1}{4m}\right). \quad (8)$$

Recall that  $m$  represents the magnitude of the asset price move. Effectively, it is a measure of volatility.

Exhibit 1 shows the optimal growth measured in basis points (bps) as a function of the parameter  $m$ .

As this exhibit indicates, the growth numbers induced by volatility pumping are only meaningful for highly volatile assets.

**Exhibit 1: Optimal portfolio growth as a function of volatility**

Portfolio with a risk asset and a riskless asset, both with zero average compounded return.

<i>m</i>	Optimal growth (bps)
1.00	0
1.05	3
1.10	11
1.15	24
1.20	41
1.25	62
1.30	86
1.35	112
1.40	141
1.45	172
1.50	204
2.00	589
3.00	1,438
4.00	2,231
5.00	2,939

Source: PIMCO

**2.3 OPTIMAL PORTFOLIOS AND VOLATILITY PUMPING WITH MULTIPLE ASSETS**

We can extend this analysis to multiple assets and a continuous time framework. Two results are worthy of note. First, if we are restricted to a risk asset and a riskless asset, then, in an optimal portfolio,

$$\text{Risk asset share} = \frac{\text{Sharpe ratio of risk asset}}{\text{Volatility of risk asset returns}} \quad (9)$$

Appendix 2 proves this result and extends it to multiple assets.

Second, as the portfolio is more diversified, the portfolio risk decreases and its expected growth increases. To help clarify the gain from volatility pumping, compare the growth of a single-asset portfolio with that of a multi-asset portfolio. We assume all assets are uncorrelated, with the same expected arithmetic return  $\mu$  and the same volatility  $\sigma$ . As discussed above, the growth of a single-asset portfolio is  $\mu - (1/2)\sigma^2$ . We show in Appendix 2 that the growth of the multi-asset portfolio is  $\mu - (1/2)\sigma^2$ . So the gain from volatility pumping is

$$\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2n} - \left( \mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{n-1}{n} \quad (10)$$

This is close to  $\sigma^2/2$  for large  $n$ , which corresponds to the number of risk assets in our portfolio.

**2.4 IMPLICATIONS FOR SOVEREIGN ASSET MANAGEMENT**

Volatility pumping has important implications for underdiversified commodity-producing countries. For example, consider a single-commodity country that produces oil. Let us analyze the implications for this country’s portfolio growth if it swaps its reserves against a portfolio of four equally weighted and correlated commodities: oil, copper, gold and aluminum.

From 10 years of historical daily spot prices, we can construct the appropriate covariance and correlation matrices for the sovereign’s returns. We use Brent prices for oil. The volatilities (standard deviation of returns) are given by: Brent oil  $\sigma_o = 26\%$ , copper  $\sigma_c = 14.8\%$ , gold  $\sigma_g = 7.6\%$  and aluminum  $\sigma_a = 14.9\%$ . The correlations among the commodities are given by:  $\rho_{oc} = 0.35$ ,  $\rho_{og} = 0.17$ ,  $\rho_{oa} = 0.3$ ,  $\rho_{cg} = 0.277$ ,  $\rho_{ca} = 0.634$  and  $\rho_{ga} = 0.214$ . Thus, the covariance matrix is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0.0680 & 0.0135 & 0.0034 & 0.0177 \\ 0.0135 & 0.0219 & 0.0031 & 0.0140 \\ 0.0034 & 0.0032 & 0.0058 & 0.0024 \\ 0.0117 & 0.0140 & 0.0024 & 0.0222 \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

The expected growth of the single-commodity portfolio  $P_t$  is given by (see Appendix 2 for the derivation):

$$E(g_P) = \frac{d \ln P}{dt} = \mu - \sigma^2/2. \quad (12)$$

The expected growth of a portfolio of  $n$  assets  $\Pi_t$  is given by (see Appendix 2 for the derivation):

$$E(g_\Pi) = \frac{d \ln \Pi}{dt} = r + \alpha^T (\mu - r) - (1/2) \alpha^T \Sigma \alpha. \quad (13)$$

In our case, the weights of the four commodities are equal to one another, so

$$\alpha^T = \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} \right). \quad (14)$$

The pickup in growth due to volatility pumping can be obtained by subtracting  $E(g_P)$  from  $E(g_\Pi)$  and inserting the values for  $\sigma_o^2$  for  $\sigma^2$  and the values for  $\Sigma$  from the covariance matrix above:

$$(\sigma_o^2)/2 - (1/2) \alpha^T \Sigma \alpha = 2.735\%. \quad (15)$$

The pickup is clearly substantial.

How would we engineer this swap in practice? Obviously, there would be a number of issues involved, including the depth and liquidity of commodity markets, the feasibility/legality of macro

swaps at the sovereign level and the search for a substitute portfolio. Avenues for resolving these problems would include commodity swaps or supranational clearing banks. Given the magnitude of the benefit, it seems to us to be a worthwhile exercise.

### 3 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we explored the potential benefits of volatility pumping for sovereign wealth funds. This asset allocation strategy makes use of the fact that while the expectation of a financial asset's performance is its arithmetic mean return, the correct measure of its performance is its geometric mean return. Because it is a mathematical fact that the arithmetic mean return is greater than or equal to the geometric mean return, we may benefit from the difference to increase the growth of the sovereign portfolio.

The effect of pumping is most significant when the variance of the original portfolio is high; as we have shown, the gain from pumping is a positive function of volatility. As the number of assets in the portfolio increases, the gain from pumping approaches 50% of the variance of risk asset returns. Hence, the higher the variance, the larger the benefit.

Using the simple example of a single-commodity-producing sovereign, we analyzed the implications for the country's portfolio growth of "swapping" its reserves against a portfolio of four equally weighted and correlated commodities: oil, copper, gold and aluminum. Given the substantial growth pickup, we believe the strategy could be highly attractive for sovereign wealth funds to use in their asset-liability management activities. The swap portfolio does not have to be limited to other commodities, as in our example. It can include equity indices, real or nominal bond indices, real estate or any alternative asset class with variance and correlation characteristics that will maximize the growth pickup due to volatility pumping.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

Sugato Bhattacharyya and Vikram Nanda. "Portfolio Pumping, Trading Activity and Fund Performance." *Review of Finance*, July 2013: 885–919.

Zvi Bodie and Marie Briere. "Optimal Asset Allocation for Sovereign Wealth Funds: Theory and Practice." Boston University School of Management research paper no. 2013–11 (2013).

Richard Brignoli and Lester Seigel. "The Role of Noise in LDC Growth." Unpublished paper, February 1988.

Keith Cuthbertson, Simon Hayley, Nick Motson and Dirk Nitzsche. "Diversification Returns, Rebalancing Returns and Volatility Pumping" (January 14, 2015). <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2311240>

Jan Hendrik Witte. "Volatility Harvesting: Extracting Return from Randomness." *Wilmott*, May 2016: 60–67.

### Appendix 1: Geometric and arithmetic growth rates

Let  $r$  be the arithmetic rate of return. Then  $\log(1+r)$  is the geometric rate of return – what we call the growth rate. A second-order Taylor expansion of  $\log(1+r)$  around the expectation of  $r$  – which we call  $\mu$  – will give

$$\log(1+r) \approx \log(1+\mu) + \frac{r-\mu}{1+r} - \frac{(r-\mu)^2}{2(1+\mu)^2} \quad (\text{A1.1})$$

Taking expectations on both sides, we obtain

$$E(g) = E(\log(1+r)) \approx \log(1+\mu) - \frac{V(r)}{2(1+\mu)^2} \quad (\text{A1.2})$$

Therefore, as mentioned in the main text, a lower volatility of asset returns implies a higher expected growth.

When prices follow a geometric Brownian motion,

$$\frac{dP_t}{P_t} = \mu dt + \sigma dW_t \quad (\text{A1.3})$$

Then, by Ito's lemma,

$$d\log P_t = \left( \mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) dt + \sigma dW_t \quad (\text{A1.4})$$

Hence, expected growth is equal to

$$E(g) = \frac{d\log P_t}{dt} = \mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \quad (\text{A1.5})$$

meaning that expected growth (or expected geometric return) is equal to the drift of the return (or expected arithmetic return) minus the variance of returns.

**Appendix 2: Optimal growth portfolios with a risk asset and a riskless asset in discrete time**

We want to allocate a portfolio between a risk asset and a zero-yielding riskless asset. The risk asset value is multiplied by  $m$  ( $m$  is greater than 1) with probability  $p$  and by  $1/m$  with probability  $(1-p)$ . Call  $\alpha$  the share of the risk asset in the portfolio. In the upstate, the portfolio delivers  $\alpha m + (1-\alpha)$ ; in the downstate,  $\alpha/m + (1-\alpha)$ . To maximize growth, we want to choose  $\alpha$  to optimize:

$$E(g) = p \log(\alpha m + (1-\alpha)) + (1-p) \log(\alpha/m + (1-\alpha)). \quad (A2.1)$$

The first-order condition is

$$\frac{p(m-1)}{\alpha^*(m-1)+1} = \frac{(1-p)(1-1/m)}{1+\alpha^*(1/(m-1)-1)}. \quad (A2.2)$$

The optimal allocation to the risk asset is hence

$$\alpha^* = (p(m+1)-1)/(m-1). \quad (A2.3)$$

First, we build a portfolio with a risk asset and a riskless asset. The risk asset price  $S$  follows a geometric Brownian motion:

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = \mu dt + \sigma dW_t, \quad (A2.4)$$

whereas the riskless asset price  $B$  follows the simple dynamic

$$\frac{dB_t}{B_t} = r dt. \quad (A2.5)$$

If the allocation to the risk asset is  $\alpha$ , then the portfolio dynamic is

$$\frac{d\pi_t}{\pi_t} = (r + \alpha(\mu - r))dt + \alpha\sigma dW_t \quad (A2.6)$$

where  $\pi_t$  is the value of the portfolio. To maximize the growth of this portfolio, we first obtain an expression of  $\log \pi$ , using Ito's lemma:

$$d \log \pi_t = \left( r + \alpha(\mu - r) - \frac{\alpha^2 \sigma^2}{2} \right) dt + \alpha \sigma dW_t. \quad (A2.7)$$

We now pick  $\alpha$  to maximize the  $dt$  term:

$$\alpha^* = (\mu - r) / \sigma^2. \quad (A2.8)$$

The optimal risk asset allocation is the asset risk premium divided by the variance of the return. It is also the Sharpe ratio divided by the volatility. The reader can check that the optimal excess growth over the risk-free rate is half the square of the risk asset Sharpe ratio by replacing  $\alpha^*$  in the growth equation. Again, by replacing the expression of  $\alpha^*$  in the portfolio dynamics equation, one can see that the volatility of the portfolio is the risk asset Sharpe ratio.

Looking at multiple assets following a multivariate geometric Brownian motion with parameters  $(\mu, \Sigma)$ , the problem, as before, is to find optimal weights by maximizing

$$r + \alpha^T (\mu - r) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^T \Sigma \alpha \quad (A2.9)$$

where  $\alpha$  is an  $(n \times 1)$  vector of optimal weights in  $n$  risk assets,  $\mu$  is an  $(n \times 1)$  vector of risk asset returns,  $\Sigma$  is the  $(n \times n)$  covariance matrix (that is, the variances and covariances of risk returns) and  $r$  is an  $(n \times 1)$  constant vector of identical values  $r$  (risk-free rates). Setting the differential of the above expression with respect to  $\alpha$  equal to zero, we find the optimal allocation to risk assets is

$$\alpha^* = \Sigma^{-1} (\mu - r). \quad (A2.10)$$

Last, when asset returns are independent and, across all risk assets, Sharpe ratios are identical and volatilities are equal to  $\sigma$ , all risk asset weights are  $1/n$ , so the expression of the variance is trivially equal to

$$\frac{1}{2} \alpha^T \Sigma \alpha = \frac{\sigma^2}{2n}. \quad (A2.11)$$

This paper contains hypothetical analysis. Results shown may not be attained and should not be construed as the only possibilities that exist. The analysis reflected in this information is based upon a set of assumptions believed to be reasonable at time of creation. Actual returns will vary. Forecasts, estimates, and certain information contained herein are based upon proprietary research and should not be considered as investment advice or a recommendation of any particular security, strategy or investment product.

Hypothetical and simulated examples have many inherent limitations and are generally prepared with the benefit of hindsight. There are frequently sharp differences between simulated results and the actual results. There are numerous factors related to the markets in general or the implementation of any specific investment strategy, which cannot be fully accounted for in the preparation of simulated results and all of which can adversely affect actual results. No guarantee is being made that the stated results will be achieved.

Statements concerning financial market trends or portfolio strategies are based on current market conditions, which will fluctuate. There is no guarantee that these investment strategies will work under all market conditions or are suitable for all investors and each investor should evaluate their ability to invest for the long term, especially during periods of downturn in the market. Outlook and strategies are subject to change without notice.

Investing in the bond market is subject to risks, including market, interest rate, issuer, credit, inflation risk, and liquidity risk. The value of most bonds and bond strategies are impacted by changes in interest rates. Bonds and bond strategies with longer durations tend to be more sensitive and volatile than those with shorter durations; bond prices generally fall as interest rates rise, and low interest rate environments increase this risk. Reductions in bond counterparty capacity may contribute to decreased market liquidity and increased price volatility. Bond investments may be worth more or less than the original cost when redeemed. Commodities contain heightened risk, including market, political, regulatory and natural conditions, and may not be suitable for all investors.

PIMCO as a general matter provides services to qualified institutions, financial intermediaries and institutional investors. Individual investors should contact their own financial professional to determine the most appropriate investment options for their financial situation. This is not an offer to any person in any jurisdiction where unlawful or unauthorized. | **Pacific Investment Management Company LLC**, 650 Newport Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660 is regulated by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. | **PIMCO Europe Ltd** (Company No. 2604517) and **PIMCO Europe Ltd - Italy** (Company No. 07533910969) are authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (12 Endeavour Square, London E20 1JN) in the UK. The Italy branch is additionally regulated by the Commissione Nazionale per le Società e la Borsa (CONSOB) in accordance with Article 27 of the Italian Consolidated Financial Act. PIMCO Europe Ltd services are available only to professional clients as defined in the Financial Conduct Authority's Handbook and are not available to individual investors, who should not rely on this communication. | **PIMCO Deutschland GmbH** (Company No. 192083, Seidlstr. 24-24a, 80335 Munich, Germany), **PIMCO Deutschland GmbH Italian Branch** (Company No. 10005170963), **PIMCO Deutschland GmbH Spanish Branch** (N.I.F. W2765338E) and **PIMCO Deutschland GmbH Swedish Branch** (SCRO Reg. No. 516410-9190) are authorised and regulated by the German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (BaFin) (Marie-Curie-Str. 24-28, 60439 Frankfurt am Main) in Germany in accordance with Section 32 of the German Banking Act (KWG). The Italian Branch, Spanish Branch and Swedish Branch are additionally supervised by the Commissione Nazionale per le Società e la Borsa (CONSOB) in accordance with Article 27 of the Italian Consolidated Financial Act, the Comisión Nacional del Mercado de Valores (CNMV) in accordance with obligations stipulated in articles 168 and 203 to 224, as well as obligations contained in Title V, Section I of the Law on the Securities Market (LSM) and in articles 111, 114 and 117 of Royal Decree 217/2008 and the Swedish Financial Supervisory Authority (Finansinspektionen) in accordance with Chapter 25 Sections 12-14 of the Swedish Securities Markets Act, respectively. The services provided by PIMCO Deutschland GmbH are available only to professional clients as defined in Section 67 para. 2 German Securities Trading Act (WpHG). They are not available to individual investors, who should not rely on this communication. | **PIMCO (Schweiz) GmbH** (registered in Switzerland, Company No. CH-020.4.038.582-2), Brandschenkestrasse 41, 8002 Zurich, Switzerland, Tel: + 41 44 512 49 10. The services provided by PIMCO (Schweiz) GmbH are not available to individual investors, who should not rely on this communication but contact their financial adviser. | **PIMCO Asia Pte Ltd** (Registration No. 199804652K) is regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore as a holder of a capital markets services licence and an exempt financial adviser. The asset management services and investment products are not available to persons where provision of such services and products is unauthorised. | **PIMCO Asia Limited** is licensed by the Securities and Futures Commission for Types 1, 4 and 9 regulated activities under the Securities and Futures Ordinance. The asset management services and investment products are not available to persons where provision of such services and products is unauthorised. | **PIMCO Australia Pty Ltd** ABN 54 084 280 508, AFSL 246862 (**PIMCO Australia**). This publication has been prepared without taking into account the objectives, financial situation or needs of investors. Before making an investment decision, investors should obtain professional advice and consider whether the information contained herein is appropriate having regard to their objectives, financial situation and needs. | **PIMCO Japan Ltd**, Financial Instruments Business Registration Number is Director of Kanto Local Finance Bureau (Financial Instruments Firm) No. 382. PIMCO Japan Ltd is a member of Japan Investment Advisers Association and The Investment Trusts Association, Japan. All investments contain risk. There is no guarantee that the principal amount of the investment will be preserved, or that a certain return will be realized; the investment could suffer a loss. All profits and losses incur to the investor. The amounts, maximum amounts and calculation methodologies of each type of fee and expense and their total amounts will vary depending on the investment strategy, the status of investment performance, period of management and outstanding balance of assets and thus such fees and expenses cannot be set forth herein. | **PIMCO Taiwan Limited** is managed and operated independently. The reference number of business license of the company approved by the competent authority is (107) FSC SICE Reg. No.001. 40F., No.68, Sec. 5, Zhongxiao E. Rd., Xinyi Dist., Taipei City 110, Taiwan (R.O.C.), Tel: +886 2 8729-5500. | **PIMCO Canada Corp.** (199 Bay Street, Suite 2050, Commerce Court Station, P.O. Box 363, Toronto, ON, M5L 1G2) services and products may only be available in certain provinces or territories of Canada and only through dealers authorized for that purpose. | **PIMCO Latin America** Av. Brigadeiro Faria Lima 3477, Torre A, 5° andar São Paulo, Brazil 04538-133. | No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, or referred to in any other publication, without express written permission. PIMCO is a trademark of Allianz Asset Management of America L.P. in the United States and throughout the world. ©2020, PIMCO.